arXiv:1211.3837v1 [gr-qc] 16 Nov 2012

Weakly-Interacting Massive Particles in Torsionally-Gravitating Dirac Theory

Luca Fabbri
DIME Sez. Metodi e Modelli Matematici, Università di Genova
INFN & Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna

Abstract

We shall consider the problem of Dark Matter in torsion gravity with Dirac matter fields: we will consider the fact that if WIMP in a bath are allowed to form condensates then torsional effects may be relevant even at galactic scales; we show that torsionally-gravitating Dirac fields have interesting features for the problem of DM. We discuss some consequences.

Introduction

At the present stage, in the race between theories predicting phenomena that experiments must measure and experiments observing facts the theory has to explain, we are in a situation that is quite rare in the history of physics, because although on the one hand there is a vast phenomenology still far from being confirmed on the other hand there are only few things without a proper systematization: among them, one of the most intriguing is certainly Dark Matter.

The problem of Dark Matter consists in the fact that the observed dynamics of the large scale universe, cluster of galaxies and galaxies themselves, seems to be well reproduced by simulations in which the gravitational force is stronger than what is expected to be; this could be due to two factors: a modified theory of gravitation or the same gravity of yet an exceeding matter distribution.

Of these two approaches, the former might be able to describe some features like the galactic rotation curves, but it can say nothing about other phenomena such as the Bullet Cluster, which is the smallest of a couple of galaxies passing through each other, where during the crossing matter slows down due to the mutual gravitational attraction; however, gravitational lensing has been observed even out of the visible matter distribution, suggesting that there must be an invisible field very weakly-interacting which is nevertheless the source over large distances of a gravitational field: this implies that what causes the gravitational field outside the visible matter distribution cannot be an additional gravitational effect of that matter, because if this were true it would be impossible to have this matter distribution with its own gravitational field in leading-order residing within the visible matter distribution but with higher-order corrections dislodged out of the visible matter distribution itself, and so beside the visible matter another form of matter must be present [1]. Thus, it remains the latter approach, describing DM as a real although yet unknown form of matter.

In terms of this approach, DM is a form of matter which must be neutral and very weakly-interacting so to justify why it is invisible and does not suffer the slowing process of the gravitational pull, and there are a few candidates possessing these features: the most relevant are Axions, ELKO and Weakly-Interacting Massive Particles WIMP; we shall briefly discuss them next.

The basic idea of Axions has nothing to do with DM, as they were first postulated to solve problems related to chromodynamics, that is the so-called Peccei-Quinn model; it was only afterwards that they have been recognized to have the character DM should have: however, this model in its most natural form is being restricted by observations in experiment such as ADMX and the consequently needed fine-tuning is diminishing its elegance. Both ELKO and WIMP are $\frac{1}{2}$-spin spinors, a form of matter well accepted. The ELKO fields are Majorana spinors solving the mass problem by postulating them to verify second-order derivative field equations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]: these particles are a recent and promising attempt to furnish a candidate for DM, although the fact that they are spinors verifying higher-order derivative field equations may create issues for the torsional self-interaction [7, 8, 9, 10]; WIMP fields are $\frac{1}{2}$-spin fields verifying the Dirac equation, so they are both structurally and dynamically defined in terms of a commonly accepted framework. The WIMP field is a rather natural candidate for DM, but because neutrinos are massless, or at least, even if we believe that the existence of neutrino oscillations must necessarily be described in terms of neutrino masses, the hypothetical neutrino masses are not large enough, then neutrinos cannot be slow and therefore do not match some requisite to be WIMP, so that WIMP fields must be sought in some enlarged forms of the standard model of particle physics. We are not going to discuss here the extensions in which WIMP candidates can be found, since we shall focus on a different type of problem, that is assuming that WIMP can actually be found, then are there interesting properties that ought be investigated?

To be more specific, let us assume that WIMP fields are in fact the correct description of DM: as WIMP fields are $\frac{1}{2}$-spin spinor fields verifying the Dirac equation, then in a gravitational context they are described by the Sciama-Kibble completion of the Einstein theory for the Dirac matter, that is the Sciama-Kibble-Einstein-Dirac SKED theory, where torsional contributions induce fermionic self-interactions in the matter field equation, as it is discussed for example in references [11] and [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]; these torsionally-induced fermion-fermion interactions can be equivalently rewritten in the form of Nambu-Jona–Lasinio NJL potentials [17, 18, 19]. The consequence of this fact is that WIMP fields permeating the galaxy are described by the SKED theory, therefore subject to a phenomenon of condensation analogous to the one happening in the NJL model; the fact that condensate fields may have a quantum but nevertheless macroscopic structure is expected, and if there is no fundamental interaction such as chromodynamics or electrodynamics confining the WIMP condensate field then it is not unreasonable that macroscopic scales in this context may even be galactic scales [20, 21]. As WIMP bath forming a single condensate at galactic scales is the most natural environment in which torsion may be relevant for the galactic rotation curves then we believe that condensates are the most natural systems in which to exploit those torsional effects that have been studied in a classical context in [22], already with intriguing results.

On the other hand, in the usually accepted description of galactic rotation curves, the orbital velocity of a body within the matter distribution has the Newtonian behaviour linearly increasing with the distance before becoming constant as we move far from the center of the galaxy, which means that the density must scale according to $\frac{1}{r}$ for the visible matter contribution and according to $\frac{1}{r^2}$ for the DM contribution; however, thinking at the $\frac{1}{r}$ behaviour as due to the Einsteinian gravitational effects of visible matter and at the $\frac{1}{r^2}$ behaviour as due to the Einsteinian gravitational effects of WIMP is unsatisfactory because as it is treated normally it must be regarded as a mere accident, but also because there is no reason why similar matter distributions behave so differently.

Instead, if we think at the $\frac{1}{r}$ behaviour as still due to the Einsteinian gravitational effect of visible matter but at the $\frac{1}{r^2}$ behaviour as now due to the torsionally-gravitating contribution of WIMP it is easy to see why they behave differently, and therefore it may happen that the correct behaviour is obtained without any impression of any accidental situation.

In the present paper we will assume this point of view, eventually drawing some of its most relevant consequences.

1 WIMP fields in SKED field theory

As we just mentioned, our starting point is to assume that WIMP fields exists in a galactic context, describing them in terms of the Sciama-Kibble torsional completion of Einstein gravity for Dirac matter fields: for the SKED theory we are going to refer to [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] and [18, 19] for notation and conventions.

In these papers it is explained how starting from the most general system of field equations with torsion one may equivalently obtain the system of field equations in which torsion has been converted by means of torsion-spin field equations into spinor self-interactions: it will suffice to give the system of field equations already in the torsionally-decomposed form, and so we shall only recall the torsionless quantities starting from the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ from which we build the Riemann metric curvature $R^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}$ with contractions given by the Ricci tensor and scalar $R^{\alpha}{}_{\rho\alpha\sigma}=R_{\rho\sigma}$ and $R_{\rho\sigma}g^{\rho\sigma}=R$ with spinor field ψ and Dirac matrices $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j$ for the spinorial covariant derivative $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu$ as usual; field equations for the gravitational field written for Ricci metric curvature tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} = -4\pi k m\overline{\psi}\psi g_{\mu\nu} + \\ +8\pi k\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\mu\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\psi+\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\nu\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\psi-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\nu\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\mu\psi-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\nu\psi\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with field equations for matter as given by

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\mu\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\psi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^2\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\mu\psi\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\mu\psi-m\psi=0 \tag{2}$$

where the torsional coupling constant a is not fixed yet while k is the gravitational constant, and the parameter m is the mass of the matter field.

The background we choose is the one that best represents the circumstance of galactic rotations, that is the weak-gravity slow-speed stationary spherical symmetry, which in the frame that is at rest with respect to the center of the galaxy we have that $-g_{rr}=B^2$ yielding the spatial spinor covariant derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_r\phi &= \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial r} \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\theta\phi &= \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta}+\tfrac{1}{2B}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2 \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}_\varphi\phi &= \tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi}+\tfrac{1}{2B}\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1+B\cot\theta\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\right)\sin\theta\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

in the matter field equation; according to these approximations, in the gravitational field equations above only the time-time component is relevant yielding the Newton law for the acceleration $\vec{a}$ felt by a test-body while for the matter field we have $i\overline{\phi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\phi\approx 0$ so that defining $\overline{\phi}\phi=\rho$ we get the Newton law

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{div}\ \vec{a} \approx -4\pi k[m\rho + \tfrac{3}{8}a\hbar^2\rho^2 + \\ +i\hbar(\tfrac{\partial\overline{\phi}}{\partial r}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\phi - \overline{\phi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}) + \tfrac{i\hbar}{r}(\tfrac{\partial\overline{\phi}}{\partial\theta}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\phi - \overline{\phi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta}) + \tfrac{i\hbar}{r\sin\theta}(\tfrac{\partial\overline{\phi}}{\partial\varphi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\phi - \overline{\phi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi})]\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with time derivatives of the field substituted via the matter field equation

$$\begin{aligned}i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\boldsymbol{\nabla}_t\phi + i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial r} + \tfrac{i\hbar}{r}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta} + \tfrac{i\hbar}{r\sin\theta}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^3\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial\varphi} + \\ +\tfrac{i\hbar}{rB}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^1\phi + \tfrac{i\hbar\cot\theta}{2r}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\phi - \tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^2\rho\phi - m\phi \approx 0\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

in terms of the spatial derivatives that have been given right above.

In the approximation of non-relativistic dynamics writing the spinor field in standard representation, we have that the small component vanishes leaving only the large component in the Newton law, which can be written as

$$\mathrm{div}\ \vec{a} \approx -4\pi k(m\rho + \tfrac{3}{8}a\hbar^2\rho^2) \tag{6}$$

where ρ is the condensate [20, 21], and it has no field equation.

The resulting effective dynamics for the gravitational field contemplates both the expected mass contribution and the additional torsional contribution, according to the Newton law (6) above; notice that over large volumes the quantum properties of each particle are lost in particle bath, and correspondingly we cannot expect to have genuinely quantum contributions of the spinor, neither as further additional terms in the gravitational field equation nor as supplementary equations such as the matter field equation itself. However, considering the dynamics of single macroscopic condensate field is problematic because we do not know the condensate field equation, although the fact that the condensate is an effective scalar field ρ makes it reasonable to assume it must obey an effective scalar field equation of the type $\nabla^2\rho \approx V(\rho)$ where $V(\rho)$ encodes the effective self-interactions of the effective field with itself, and since for scalar fields these interactions are known to tend to zero more quickly compared to the kinetic term in the infra-red approximation, then it also becomes reasonable to assume that the potential $V(\rho)$ vanishes consequently leaving $\nabla^2\rho \approx 0$ solely.

This field equation has solution $\rho \approx \frac{2\kappa}{r}$ which is by the way the expected behaviour for scalar-like condensate fields: plugging it into (6), integrating and writing the centripetal acceleration in terms of the tangential velocity gives

$$v^2 \approx 2\pi k(2m\kappa r + 3a\hbar^2\kappa^2) \tag{7}$$

in terms of κ describing the density of the condensate, according to an expression in which both massive terms and torsional terms are present [22].

This expression describes the behaviour of the tangential velocity of the rotation curve where the expected mass term is now accompanied by the additional torsional correction: the solution $\rho\approx\frac{2\kappa}{r}$ was indeed reasonable, since we obtain the precise behaviour expected for the mass term; but because of the rigidity of these expressions then we simultaneously get the behaviour of the torsion contribution as fixed in a necessary way. This means that for visible matter, torsional contributions disappear leaving only the mass term, linearly growing as expected for Keplerian orbits; instead for the WIMP, the particle bath forming a single condensate field can retain the torsional contribution as the most relevant ones if the mass term is negligible, resulting into the constant behaviour as a prediction for DM effects. Finally we notice that because we do not know the torsional constant value we cannot evaluate the density κ of WIMP fields.

The fact that in this paper we have considered WIMP bath condensates employing torsion instead of a different mass distribution in order to fit DM means that, although in the situation of galactic rotation curves results in the two cases are identical, nevertheless in the case of other systems such as the Bullet Cluster differences may arise, which in turns means that in the future it will be essential to take into account this issue so to have the possibility to see what crucial discrepancies might eventually emerge.

Conclusions

In the present paper, we have discussed the issue of DM explained in terms of WIMP fields described by the SKED theory: we found a dynamical behaviour capable of giving rise to both massive and torsional gravitational effects that in the case of galaxies respectively reproduce the Keplerian orbits but now supplemented with DM effects; in this scheme, the Keplerian orbit is still due to visible matter in a standard form for which only the massive contribution is present, while DM corrections are due to WIMP bath forming a single condensate field for which torsion is relevant indeed. The two different behaviours of massive and torsional terms have a rigidly fixed relationship, and it can in no way be an accident. In the usual paradigm the gravitational effects that give the Keplerian orbits and the DM corrections are both due to mass terms but with two different density distributions; the fact that there appears to be no reason for these two different dependencies makes it clear that the resulting behaviours are completely unrelated. What this means is that in the commonly embraced approach, if we were not to know what the DM behaviour were there would be no possibility to deduce what it should be, while in this approach of ours the DM behaviour cannot be any different from what it is. This is intriguing, because with DM explained as a halo of particles with mass there would have never been any possibility to predict the existence of DM before its actual observation, while with DM interpreted as a bath of particles forming a condensate field with relevant torsional contribution there might have been the opportunity to predict DM must have been present even before we could detect it.

A question we might ask now is whether it makes sense to think at WIMP fields as able to give rise to a condensate field: the model suggests that there is such a possibility since it has already been done in the case of Bose-Einstein condensates, and because for WIMP there is no force preventing it from spreading then it is not inconceivable to think that this condensate may stretch over galactic scales; this idea has already been used [20, 21] although never in presence of torsion to fit the DM behaviour. The idea of employing torsion to fit galactic rotation curves has already been used [22] although never for quantum particle condensed states; here the two ideas are merged, since condensates are the most natural environment for torsional effects to occur. Then at galactic scales the resulting behaviour of DM is quite straightforwardly obtained, without the necessity to assume the validity of any supplementary hypotheses.

This fact that for WIMP fields the presence of torsion has the effect of giving the correct behaviour of DM without requiring more assumptions is intriguing enough, we believe, to proceed with more studies about galactic rotation curves, and other systems like the Bullet Cluster, hoping that WIMP's torsional effects would yield even more predictive results.

References

[1] D. Clowe, M. Bradac, A. H. Gonzalez, M. Markevitch, S. W. Randall, C. Jones, D. Zaritsky, *Astrophys. J.* **648**, L109 (2006).

[2] D. V. Ahluwalia, D. Grumiller, JCAP **0507**, 012 (2005).

[3] D. V. Ahluwalia, D. Grumiller, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 067701 (2005).

[4] D. V. Ahluwalia, C. Y. Lee, D. Schritt, *Phys. Lett. B* **687**, 248 (2010).

[5] D. V. Ahluwalia, C. Y. Lee, D. Schritt, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 065017 (2011).

[6] R. da Rocha, J. M. Hoff da Silva, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 123517 (2007).

[7] L. Fabbri, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25**, 151 (2010).

[8] L. Fabbri, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25**, 2483 (2010).

[9] L. Fabbri, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **43**, 1607 (2011).

[10] L. Fabbri, *Phys. Lett. B* **704**, 255 (2011).

[11] F. W. Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, J. M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 393 (1976).

[12] L. Fabbri, in *Annales de la Fondation de Broglie: Special Issue on Torsion* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Fondation de Broglie, 2007).

[13] L. Fabbri, in *Contemporary Fundamental Physics: Einstein and Hilbert* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Nova Science, 2011).

[14] L. Fabbri, *Annales Fond. Broglie* **33**, 365 (2008).

[15] L. Fabbri, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **51**, 954 (2012).

[16] L. Fabbri, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 1250028 (2012).

[17] P. Baekler, F. W. Hehl, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 215017 (2011).

[18] L. Fabbri, arXiv:1108.3046 [gr-qc].

[19] L. Fabbri, arXiv:1210.1146 [gr-qc].

[20] C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, *JCAP* **0706**, 025 (2007).

[21] M. P. Silverman, R. L. Mallett, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **34**, 633 (2002).

[22] A. Tilquin, T. Schucker, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **43**, 2965 (2011).